

# Contents

---

<b>Preface</b> .....	<b>i</b>
<b>Contents</b> .....	<b>iii</b>
<b>Acronyms</b> .....	<b>vii</b>
<b>Executive Summary</b> .....	<b>ES-1</b>
<b>Section 1 Introduction</b> .....	<b>1-1</b>
1.1 Purpose .....	1-5
1.2 Report Organization .....	1-6
1.3 Site Background .....	1-7
1.3.1 Site Description .....	1-7
1.3.2 Site History .....	1-9
1.3.3 Geologic and Hydrogeologic Conditions .....	1-12
1.3.3.1 Site Geology .....	1-12
1.3.3.2 Site Hydrogeology .....	1-13
1.3.4 Ground Water Quality .....	1-15
1.3.5 Nature and Extent of Contamination .....	1-16
1.3.5.1 Soil Vapor .....	1-16
1.3.5.2 Ground Water .....	1-18
1.3.6 Fate and Transport of Contamination .....	1-20
1.3.6.1 Chemical and Physical Nature of PCE .....	1-21
1.3.6.2 Potential Migration Pathways .....	1-21
1.3.6.3 Degradation Pathways .....	1-22
1.3.6.4 Potential Exposure Pathways .....	1-23
1.3.7 Ground Water Modeling Summary .....	1-23
1.3.8 Conceptual Site Model .....	1-25
1.3.9 Summary of Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment .....	1-26
1.3.9.1 Human Health .....	1-26
1.3.9.2 Ecological Receptors .....	1-28
1.3.10 Principle and Low-Level Threat Waste .....	1-28
<b>Section 2 Applicability and Review of Remedy Technologies</b> .....	<b>2-1</b>
2.1 Approach for the Identification of Technologies and Development of Alternatives .....	2-2
2.2 Remedial Action Objectives .....	2-4
2.2.1 Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements (ARARs) .....	2-5
2.2.1.1 Chemical-Specific ARARs .....	2-6
2.2.1.2 Action-Specific ARARs .....	2-7
2.2.1.3 Location-Specific ARARs .....	2-7
2.2.1.4 To-Be-Considered Criteria .....	2-7
2.2.2 Preliminary Remediation Goals .....	2-7
2.2.3 Occurrence and Volume of Affected Media above PRGs .....	2-8
2.3 Evaluation of Remedial Technologies .....	2-9
2.3.1 Description of Remedial Technologies .....	2-9
2.3.2 Site Specific Evaluation of Remedy Technologies .....	2-10
2.4 Evaluation of Uranium Removal Technologies .....	2-17

<b>Section 3 Development of Alternatives .....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1 Summary of Selected Technologies .....	3-1
3.2 Common Remedial Alternative Components.....	3-2
3.2.1 Institutional Controls.....	3-3
3.2.2 Long-Term Monitoring Program.....	3-3
3.2.3 Uranium Treatment .....	3-6
3.2.4 Technical Support .....	3-6
3.3 Alternative 1: No Action .....	3-7
3.4 Alternative 2: Ground Water Extraction with Blending.....	3-7
3.5 Alternative 3: Ground Water Extraction with Treatment.....	3-9
3.6 Alternative 4: Enhanced Ground Water Extraction with Treatment .....	3-12
3.7 Alternative 5: In-Well Air Stripping in Higher Concentration Areas of the Ground Water Plume.....	3-13
<b>Section 4 Detailed Analysis of Alternatives .....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1 Evaluation Criteria .....	4-1
4.1.1 Threshold Criteria .....	4-2
4.1.2 Balancing Criteria .....	4-3
4.1.3 Modifying Criteria.....	4-5
4.2 Individual Analysis of Alternatives.....	4-5
4.2.1 Alternative 1: No Action .....	4-6
4.2.1.1 Overall Protection of Human Health and the Environment.....	4-6
4.2.1.2 Compliance with ARARs .....	4-6
4.2.1.3 Long-Term Effectiveness and Permanence .....	4-6
4.2.1.4 Reduction of Toxicity, Mobility, or Volume through Treatment .....	4-7
4.2.1.5 Short-Term Effectiveness .....	4-7
4.2.1.6 Implementability.....	4-7
4.2.1.7 Cost.....	4-7
4.2.2 Alternative 2: Ground Water Extraction with Blending.....	4-7
4.2.2.1 Overall Protection of Human Health and the Environment.....	4-8
4.2.2.2 Compliance with ARARs .....	4-8
4.2.2.3 Long-Term Effectiveness and Permanence .....	4-9
4.2.2.4 Reduction of Toxicity, Mobility or Volume through Treatment .....	4-9
4.2.2.5 Short-Term Effectiveness .....	4-9
4.2.2.6 Implementability.....	4-10
4.2.2.7 Cost.....	4-10
4.2.3 Alternative 3: Ground Water Extraction with Treatment.....	4-11
4.2.3.1 Overall Protection of Human Health and the Environment.....	4-11
4.2.3.2 Compliance with ARARs .....	4-11
4.2.3.3 Long-Term Effectiveness and Permanence .....	4-12
4.2.3.4 Reduction of Toxicity, Mobility, or Volume through Treatment .....	4-12
4.2.3.5 Short-Term Effectiveness .....	4-12
4.2.3.6 Implementability.....	4-13
4.2.3.7 Cost.....	4-14
4.2.4 Alternative 4: Enhanced Ground Water Extraction with Treatment .....	4-14
4.2.4.1 Overall Protection of Human Health and the Environment.....	4-15
4.2.4.2 Compliance with ARARs .....	4-15
4.2.4.3 Long-Term Effectiveness and Permanence .....	4-16
4.2.4.4 Reduction of Toxicity, Mobility, and Volume through Treatment.....	4-16
4.2.4.5 Short-Term Effectiveness .....	4-16
4.2.4.6 Implementability.....	4-17

4.2.4.7	Cost.....	4-18
4.2.5	Alternative 5: In-Well Air Stripping in Higher Concentration Areas of the Ground Water Plume .....	4-18
4.2.5.1	Overall Protection of Human Health and the Environment.....	4-19
4.2.5.2	Compliance with ARARs .....	4-19
4.2.5.3	Long-Term Effectiveness and Permanence .....	4-20
4.2.5.4	Reduction of Toxicity, Mobility, and Volume through Treatment.....	4-20
4.2.5.5	Short-Term Effectiveness .....	4-20
4.2.5.6	Implementability.....	4-21
4.2.5.7	Cost.....	4-21
4.3	Comparative Analysis .....	4-22
4.3.1	Threshold Criteria .....	4-22
4.3.1.1	Overall Protection of Human Health and the Environment.....	4-22
4.3.1.2	Compliance with Applicable or Relevant & Appropriate Requirements .....	4-23
4.3.2	Balancing Criteria .....	4-24
4.3.2.1	Long-Term Effectiveness and Permanence .....	4-24
4.3.2.2	Reduction of Toxicity, Mobility, or Volume through Treatment .....	4-24
4.3.2.3	Short-Term Effectiveness .....	4-25
4.3.2.4	Implementability.....	4-25
4.3.2.5	Cost.....	4-26
<b>Section 5 References .....</b>		<b>5-1</b>

**List of Tables**

Table 1-1	General Water Chemistry - December 2005 Sampling Event
Table 1-2	List of Detections in Ground Water, Inorganics - January 2004 Sampling Event
Table 1-3	History of Uranium Detections in CLC Municipal Water Supply Wells
Table 1-4	PCE Analytical Results from the First and Second Mobilization of the Remedial Investigation in SVMP01, SVMP03, and SVMP16
Table 1-5	Chemical and Physical Characteristics of PCE
Table 1-6	Comparison of Griggs and Walnut Ground Water Model Layers, Hydrologic Zones, and Units Defined by Hawley and Kennedy (2004)
Table 2-1	Federal Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements for Remedial Action
Table 2-2	New Mexico Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements for Remedial Action
Table 2-3	City and County Regulations and Requirements
Table 2-4	Review of Technologies for Ground Water Remediation Alternatives
Table 3-1	General Description of Remedial Alternatives and Overview of the Basis of Estimate
Table 3-2	List of Wells Proposed for Ground Water Monitoring
Table 4-1	Comparative Analysis of Remedial Alternatives
Table 4-2	Alternative Cost Summary

**List of Figures**

Figure 1-1	Site Location Map
Figure 1-2	PCE Concentrations in Samples from City of Las Cruces Water Supply Wells June 1991 through July 2006
Figure 1-3	Horizontal Distribution of PCE in Soil Vapor – First Field Mobilization
Figure 1-4	Vertical Distribution of PCE in Soil Vapor (Profile A-A’) – First Field Mobilization
Figure 1-5	Vertical Distribution of PCE in Soil Vapor (Profile B-B’) – First Field Mobilization
Figure 1-6	Vertical Distribution of PCE in Soil Vapor (Profile C-C’) – First Field Mobilization

Figure 1-7	PCE Shallow Soil Vapor Concentrations in the Residential Area
Figure 1-8	PCE Shallow Soil Vapor Concentrations at the Meerscheidt Recreational Facility
Figure 1-9	PCE Shallow Soil Vapor Concentrations at the PAL Boxing Facility
Figure 1-10	Horizontal Distribution of PCE in the Upper Hydrologic Zone (December 2005)
Figure 1-11	Horizontal Distribution of PCE in Upper Portion of the Hydrologic Lower Zone (December 2005)
Figure 1-12	Horizontal Distribution of PCE in Lower Portion of the Lower Hydrologic Zone (December 2005)
Figure 1-13	Vertical Distribution of PCE Cross-Section A-A'
Figure 1-14	Vertical Distribution of PCE Cross-Section B-B'
Figure 1-15	Vertical Distribution of PCE Cross-Section D-D'
Figure 1-16	Locations of Cross-Sections
Figure 1-17	Conceptual Model of PCE Release and Subsurface Contamination
Figure 2-1	Phased Ground Water Actions: Long-Term Remedy Implementation in Phases
Figure 3-1	Proposed Location of New Ground Water Monitor Wells
Figure 3-2	Alternative 2: Time Series of Model-Predicted PCE Concentrations Near the Center of the Plume
Figure 3-3	Alternative 2: Conceptual Layout – Ground Water Extraction with Blending
Figure 3-4	Alternative 3: Time Series of Model-Predicted PCE Concentrations Near the Center of the Plume
Figure 3-5	Alternative 3: Conceptual Layout – Ground Water Extraction with Treatment
Figure 3-6	Alternative 4: Time Series of Model-Predicted PCE Concentrations Near the Center of the Plume
Figure 3-7	Alternative 4: Conceptual Layout – Enhanced Ground Water Extraction with Treatment
Figure 3-8	Alternative 5: Conceptual Layout – In-Well Stripping in Higher Concentration Areas of the Ground Water Plume

### **List of Appendices**

Appendix A	Draft Report, Ground-Water Flow and Solute-Transport Model for the Griggs and Walnut Superfund Site (JSAI)
Appendix B	Cost Estimates